UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	19	CV A	08 8		
ARIEL SILVERIO,	X	CIVIL ACT	JUDGE SULLIVAN		
Plaintiff	f,	<u>VERIFIED</u>	<u>COMPLAINT</u>		
-against-		JURY TRIA	AL DEMANDED		
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, DETECTIVE MCPHERSON AND POLICE OFFICERS J. – 4,		Index No.	DEGETVEN		
Defenda	ants. X	ζ.	JAN 20 2015 U		
Plaintiff, by his attorney, Jason L. Lopez, as and for his complaint hereby alleges as.					
follows:					

Parties

- Plaintiff ARIEL SILVERIO presently resides and is domiciled in the State of New York.
- 2. Upon information and belief defendant the CITY OF NEW YORK (hereinafter "City") is a municipal corporation domiciled in the State of New York.
- 3. Upon information and belief, defendant DETECTIVE MCPHERSON (hereinafter "McPherson") resides and is domiciled in the State of New York.
- 4. Upon information and belief, defendants POLICE OFFICERS JOHN DOES 1-4 (hereinafter "John Does") reside and are domiciled in the State of New York.

Jurisdiction -Venue

5. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 and the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Constitution and

laws of the State of New York. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §1343(a)(3)(4).

6. Venue on this action lies in the Southern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 as the events giving rise to this action occurred in the Southern District.

General Allegations of Fact

- 7. Plaintiff ARIEL SILVERIO presently resides in Bronx County.
- 8. At all times relevant hereto, the City maintained, controlled and operated the New York City Police Department which is empowered under the laws of the State and City of New York to prevent, respond to and investigate crimes.
- 9. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, Detective McPherson was employed by the New York City Police Department as a detective. As such, Detective McPherson possessed the authority under the color of law to make arrests and investigate crimes committed in the City of New York.
- 10. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, JOHN DOES 1 4 were employed by the New York City Police department as police officers. As such, Officer JOHN DOES 1 4 possessed the authority under the color of law to make arrests and investigate crimes committed in the City of New York.
- 11. On March 19, 2013 plaintiff was lawfully in the vicinity of 292 Audobon Avenue, New York, NY when Detective McPherson and Police Officers John Does 1-4 unlawfully took him into custody for alleged involvement with possession and sale of marijuana. Thereafter, plaintiff was placed in a van rear handcuffed and transported around for a few hours.
- 12. Eventually, Plaintiff was transported to a Precinct controlled and operated by defendants. While at the precinct, defendants strip searched Plaintiff. Subsequently Plaintiff

was transported to New York County Central Booking located at 100 Centre Street. Plaintiff was released in the afternoon of March 20, 2013.

- 13. Plaintiff was charged under New York County Docket No.: 2013NY022297 with one count of Criminal Sale of Marijuana in the 4th Degree Penal Law §221.40 and one count of Criminal Possession of Marijuana in the 5th Degree Penal Law §221.10(1).
- 14. Thereafter, Plaintiff attended several court appearances pertaining to New York County Docket No.: 2013NY022297. Ultimately, the case was dismissed on May 20, 2014.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. §1983

- 15. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 14.
- 16. At all times pertinent hereto the defendants acted under color of law in connection with their false arrest and imprisonment of plaintiff.
- 17. That on March 19, 2013 the defendants wrongfully seized, detained, searched, arrested and imprisoned plaintiff in violation of his constitutional rights including but not limited to violation of the Fourth, Fifth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution in violation of his rights secured by the Constitution and laws of the State of New York. That on March 19, 2013 Defendant City of New York had customs, policies and practices in place that allowed for the aforementioned actions and inactions.

GENERAL DAMAGE

- 18. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 17.
- 19. As a result of the actions and inactions of the defendants set forth herein, the plaintiff was caused to incur a violation of his civil and constitutional rights.

- 20. As a result of the actions of the defendants set forth herein, the plaintiff was caused to sustain shock, embarrassment, humiliation, and fear that prevents the plaintiff from engaging in and enjoying ordinary daily activities, nervousness, anxiety and stress. As such, the plaintiff seeks damages in the amount of seventy five thousand dollars (\$75,000).
 - 21. This action falls within one of the exceptions set forth in C.P.L.R. Article 16.

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

- 22. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 21.
- 23. The defendants actions as set forth herein rise to a level of wanton, reckless, malicious and intentional disregard of the plaintiffs civil and constitutional rights and as such the plaintiff herein requests that this court impose punitive damages as a result of said actions.

SPECIAL DAMAGES

- 24. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 23.
- 25. Plaintiff seeks to recover reasonable attorneys fees and costs associated with the prosecution of this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against the defendants for the amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Court together with attorney's fees, interest, costs, and such other relief as the court may deem appropriate.

Dated: New York, NY January 20, 2015

> LAW OFFICE OF JASON LOPEZ By: JASON L. LOPEZ

Attorneys for Plaintiff

325 Broadway, Suite 404

New York, NY 10007

(212) 964-4144

VERIFICATION

I, Ariel Silverio am the plaintiff in the annexed complaint. I have read the foregoing complaint and believe the contents thereof to be true to the best of my knowledge other than those matters stated to be upon information and belief of which I believe to be true as well.

ARIEL SILVERIO

Sworn before me this 2014

JOTARY PUBLIC

Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02LO6087319
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires February 18, 2015

UNITED STATES DISTRICT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF N			×		
-against- THE CITY OF NEW YORK, I MCPHERSON AND POLICE – 4,	Plaintiff, DETECTIVE CANDACE OFFICERS JOHN DOES 1 Defendants.	CIVIL ACTION VERIFIED COMPLAINT JURY TRIAL DEMANDE Index No. CV	D		
SUMMONS & VERIFIED COMPLAINT					
I	LAW OFFICE OF JASON L 325 Broadway, Suite 40 New York, NY 10007 (212) 964-4144				
The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practic upon information and belief and reasonable inquidated: January 20, 2015	airy, the contentions contained in the annex				
Service of the within is hereby admitte Dated:	d	,			
	Ā	Attorney(s) for	-, ,		